	Case 2:20-cv-01702-DB Document	5 Filed 09/24/20	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID LEE ANDERSON,	No. 2:20-cv-170	22 DB P
12	Petitioner,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	DANIEL CUEVA,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
19	The application attacks a conviction issued by the Alameda County Superior Court.		
20	While both this court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was		
21	convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any		
22	and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more		
23	readily available in Alameda County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).		
24	Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
25	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and		
26	////		
27	///		
28			

2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Dated: September 23, 2020 /bh UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE ande1702.108